

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA**  
**AT** Huntington

JUSTIN ADKINS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,



v.

CIVIL ACTION 3:18-CV-0321

CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC., et al.,

Defendants.



**PROTECTIVE ORDER**

By signing this Protective Order, the parties have agreed to be bound by its terms and to request its entry by the presiding district or magistrate judge. It is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

**I. DISCOVERY PHASE**

A. If a party, or a non-party producing information in this civil action, or an attorney for the party or non-party, has a good faith belief that certain documents or other materials (including digital information) subject to disclosure pursuant to a request or court order, are confidential and should not be disclosed other than in connection with this action and

pursuant to this Protective Order, the party, non-party, or attorney shall clearly mark each such document or other material as “**CONFIDENTIAL**.” The individual or entity designating the document or materials as “**CONFIDENTIAL**” must take care to limit any such designation to specific documents or materials that qualify for protection under the appropriate standards. Mass, indiscriminate, or routine designations are prohibited. Designations that are shown to be clearly unjustified or that have been made for an improper purpose (e.g. to unnecessarily encumber or delay the case development process or impose unnecessary expense and burden on another party) expose the designating individual or entity to sanctions. If it comes to the attention of the designating individual or entity that a document or other material has been improperly marked as “**CONFIDENTIAL**,” the designating individual or entity must promptly notify all parties that the erroneous designation is being withdrawn and must replace the improperly designated document or material with a copy that is not marked “**CONFIDENTIAL**”.

B. If a party or an attorney for a party disputes whether a document or other material should be marked “**CONFIDENTIAL**,” the parties and/or attorneys shall attempt to resolve the dispute with the designating individual or entity. If they are unsuccessful, the party or attorney challenging the “**CONFIDENTIAL**” designation shall do so by filing an appropriate motion.

C. No party or attorney or other person subject to this Protective Order shall distribute, transmit, or otherwise divulge any document or other material which is marked “**CONFIDENTIAL**,” or the contents thereof, except in accordance with this Protective Order. Court personnel are not subject to this Protective Order while engaged in the performance of their official duties.

D. Any document or other material which is marked “**CONFIDENTIAL**,” or the contents thereof, may be used by a party, or a party’s attorney, expert witness, consultant, or other person to whom disclosure is made, only for the purpose of this action. Nothing contained in this Protective Order shall prevent the use of any document or other material which is marked “**CONFIDENTIAL**,” or the contents thereof, at any deposition taken in this action.

E. If a party or attorney wishes to disclose any document or other material which is marked “**CONFIDENTIAL**,” or the contents thereof, to any person actively engaged in working on this action (e.g., expert witness, paralegal, associate, consultant), the person making the disclosure shall do the following:

1. Provide a copy of this Protective Order to the person to whom disclosure is made;
2. Inform the person to whom disclosure is made that s/he is bound by this Protective Order;
3. Require the person to whom disclosure is made to sign an acknowledgment and receipt of this Protective Order;
4. Instruct the person to whom disclosure is made to return any document or other material which is marked “**CONFIDENTIAL**,” at the conclusion of the case, including notes or memoranda made from “**CONFIDENTIAL**” material; and
5. Maintain a list of persons to whom disclosure was made and the “**CONFIDENTIAL**” materials which were disclosed to that person.

## **II. POST-DISCOVERY PHASE**


A. If any party, non-party, or attorney wishes to file, or use as an exhibit or as evidence at a hearing or trial, any “**CONFIDENTIAL**” document or material, s/he must provide reasonable notice to the party that produced the document or material. The parties and/or attorneys shall then attempt to resolve the matter of continued confidentiality by either (a) removing the “**CONFIDENTIAL**” marking, or (b) creating a mutually acceptable redacted version that suffices for purposes of the case. If an amicable resolution proves unsuccessful,

the parties and/or attorneys may present the issue to the court for resolution. The proponent of continued confidentiality will have the burden of persuasion that the document or material should be withheld from the public record in accordance with (a) Local Rule of Civil Procedure 26.4, (b) the Administrative Procedures for Electronic Filing in the Southern District of West Virginia § 12, and (c) controlling precedent. *See, e.g., Press-Enterprise Co. v. Superior Court*, 478 U.S. 1, 8–9 (1986); *Virginia Dep't. of State Police v. Washington Post*, 386 F.3d 567, 575 (4th Cir. 2004).

B. Within thirty days after the conclusion of the action, each party shall gather the “**CONFIDENTIAL**” materials, copies thereof, and related notes and memoranda, including materials given by that party to any other individual, and shall return them to the party or attorney who originally disclosed them, with a certificate of compliance with the terms of this Protective Order, unless: (1) the document has been offered into evidence or filed without restriction as to disclosure; (2) the parties agree to destruction in lieu of return; or (3) as to documents bearing the notations, summations, or other mental impressions of a receiving party or the party’s expert witness or consultant, that party elects to destroy the documents and certifies to the producing party that it has done so. Notwithstanding the above requirements to return or destroy documents, counsel may retain attorney work product, including drafts of preliminary reports submitted by an expert or consultant, which includes information produced as “**CONFIDENTIAL**,” so long as that work product does not duplicate verbatim substantial portions or the text or images of confidential documents. Any such work product retained by an attorney shall continue to be “**CONFIDENTIAL**” and shall be subject to this protective order. The attorney may use his or her work product in other litigation provided that the attorney does not use or disclose the confidential documents.

The Clerk is instructed to provide a copy of this Order to counsel of record and any unrepresented party.

**ENTERED: July 7, 2020**



Cheryl A. Eifert  
United States Magistrate Judge

**Parties and Counsel:**

Melissa Foster Bird, Esquire  
Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP  
949 Third Avenue, Ste. 200  
Huntington, WV 25719  
Counsel for Defendants

Jeffrey R. Dingwall  
Eight & Sand  
110 West C St., Ste. 1903  
San Diego, CA 92101  
jeff@eightandsandlaw.com  
Counsel for Plaintiffs

Charles Kiel Garella, Esquire  
Garella Law  
409 East Blvd  
Charlotte, NC 28303  
kiel@gljustice.com  
Counsel for Plaintiffs

Mark F. Underwood  
John Patrick L. Stephens  
Underwood & Proctor Law Office  
923 Third Ave., Huntington WV 25701  
munderwood@underwoodlawoffices.com  
Counsel for Plaintiffs

Gregory G. Paul  
Morgan & Paul  
100 First Ave., Ste. 1010  
Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
gregpaul@morgan-paul.com  
Counsel for Plaintiffs

Kenneth R. Reed  
241 Elm Street  
Ludlow, KY 41016  
Counsel for Plaintiffs